

Reza Mirzaie, Esq.
 (Pro Hac Vice)
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
 Paul S. Kroeger, Esq.
 (Pro Hac Vice)
pkroeger@raklaw.com
 Stanley H. Thompson, Jr., Esq.
 (Pro Hac Vice)
sthompson@raklaw.com
 C. Jay Chung, Esq.
 (Pro Hac Vice)
jchung@raklaw.com
RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT
 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90025
 Telephone: (310) 826-7474
 Facsimile: (310) 826-6991

Mark Borghese, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 6231
mark@borgheselegal.com
Borghese Legal, Ltd.
 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
 T: (702) 382-0200
 F: (702) 382-0212

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 2-WAY COMPUTING INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

2-WAY COMPUTING, INC., a Nevada
 corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California
 corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: **2:15-cv-02235-GMN-CWH**

JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS

WHEREAS, Plaintiff 2-Way Computing, Inc. (“2-Way”) and Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) have resolved 2-Way’s claims for relief against Cisco.

NOW, THEREFORE, 2-Way and Cisco through their attorneys of record, request this Court to dismiss 2-Way's claims for relief against Cisco with prejudice with all attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses borne by the party incurring same.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a [Proposed] Order of Dismissal with Prejudice.

Dated: June 1, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark Borghese

/s/ Matthew S. Yungwirth

Mark Borghese, Esq.

Manita Rawat (Nevada Bar No.: 9656)

mark@borgheselegal.com

DUANE MORRIS LLP

BORGHESE LEGAL, LTD.

100 North City Parkway, Suite 1560

10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

Las Vegas, NV 89106-4617

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone No.: (702) 868-2600

Telephone No.: (702) 382-0200

Facsimile No.: (702) 382-0212

L. Norwood Jameson (*pro hac vice*)

wjameson@duanemorris.com

Reza Mirzaie (*pro hac vice*)

Matthew S. Yungwirth (*pro hac vice*)

Paul S. Kroeger (*pro hac vice*)

msyungwirth@duanemorris.com

Stanley H. Thompson, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)

Jennifer H. Forte (*pro hac vice*)

C. Jay Chung (*pro hac vice*)

jhforte@duanemorris.com

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

DUANE MORRIS LLP

12424 Wilshire Boulevard

1075 Peachtree Street, Suite 2000

12th Floor

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Los Angeles, California 90025

Telephone: 404.253.6900

Telephone: 310.826.7474

Counsel for Plaintiff

Christopher J. Tyson (*pro hac vice*)

cjtyson@duanemorris.com

DUANE MORRIS LLP

505 9th Street NW., Ste. 1000

Washington, DC 20004

Telephone: 202-776-7851

Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a resident of Clark County, Nevada and am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action. My business address is: 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89145.

On **June 1, 2016**, I served this document on the parties listed on the attached service list via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated next to the name of the served individual or entity by a checked box:

PERSONAL SERVICE: by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such on behalf of the firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual or his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the document and is attached.

EMAIL: By transmitting a copy of the document to the electronic-mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has consented to such manner of service.

E-FILE: Automatically through the court's electronic filing system.

FAX SERVICE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has consented to such manner of service.

MAIL SERVICE: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing an affidavit.

I declare that under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the above is true and correct. I further declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

/s/ Mark Borghese

An employee of BORGHESE LEGAL, LTD.

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEYS OF RECORD	PARTIES REPRESENTED	METHOD OF SERVICE
Jennifer H. Forte L. Norwood Jameson Manita Rawat Christopher Tyson Matthew S. Yungwirth DUANE MORRIS LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1560 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4617 1075 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2000 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3929 505 9th Street NW Washington, DC 20004-2166	Attorneys for Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal service <input type="checkbox"/> Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-File <input type="checkbox"/> Fax service <input type="checkbox"/> Mail service

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

2-WAY COMPUTING, INC., a Nevada
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California
corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: **2:15-cv-02235-GMN-CWH**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff 2-Way Computing, Inc. (“2-Way”) and Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) announced to the Court that they have resolved 2-Way’s claims for relief against Cisco asserted in this case. 2-Way and Cisco have therefore requested that the Court dismiss 2-Way’s claims for relief against Cisco with prejudice with all attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses taxed against the party incurring same. The Court, having considered this request, is of the opinion that their request for dismissal should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that 2-Way’s claims for relief against Cisco are dismissed with prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all attorneys’ fees, costs of court and expenses shall be borne by each party incurring the same.

IT IS SO ORDERED:


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: June 2, 2016